THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

WRIT APPEAL NO.476 OF 2006

Date: 23-05-2006

Between:

K.Ramachandra Rao ..Appellant

And

The Senior Regional Manager and

Another …Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

WRIT APPEAL NO.476 OF 2006

J U D G M E N T *(Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice L.Narasimha Reddy):*

The appellant is employed as Chief Administrative Assistant in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited and he was posted at the Unit of the Corporation at Cherlapally, Hyderabad. Through proceedings dated 05-10-2005 he was transferred to Ramagundam. Questioning the same, he filed Writ Petition No.2938 of 2006, which was dismissed on 16-02-2006. Aggrieved thereby, he filed Writ Appeal No.189 of 2006.

A Division Bench of this Court disposed of the writ appeal through order dated 27-02-2006 leaving it open to the appellant to make a representation. A direction was issued to the effect that till the disposal of the writ petition, the appellant should not be disturbed.

Pursuant to the directions issued by the Division Bench, the appellant made a representation to the respondents. The same was rejected by the respondents through their order 09-03-2006. Appellant challenged the same by filing Writ Petition No.6586 of 2006. A learned Single Judge of this Court dismissed the writ petition through order dated 04-04-2006. Hence, this writ appeal.

Heard learned counsel for the appellant and also learned counsel for the respondents.

The interest of the appellant was amply protected through the orders of the Division Bench, by permitting him to make a representation. The respondents, in turn, passed a detailed order on 09-03-2006 dealing with each and every contention, advanced by the appellant. This Court cannot sit as an appellate authority over the findings recorded by the respondents, that too, in a matter relating to transfer. It hardly needs any emphasis that transfer is an incidence of service and the grievances that have been pointed out by the appellant have already been considered by the respondents. This Court does not find any basis to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge. The writ appeal is accordingly dismissed. However, the

appellant is granted time till 15th June, 2006 to join the new station.

_____

(L. NARASIMHA REDDY,J)

Dated 23rd May, 2006

_____

(D.APPA RAO,J)

vrn/kvni